

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	Other Comments
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	RECLASSIFIED Much of what is proposed could have been implemented years ago.
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	Supporting Evidence
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Redacted comment on supporting documents - Please give details of why you consider any of the evidence not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	RECLASSIFIED Manchester and Trafford Transport Locality Assessment - HS2 The Green Belt
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	I give my views on why proposed allocation JPA 3.2 is unsound elsewhere. Re the part of the Vision, "A place where all voices are heard and where, working together, we can shape our future," it is clear that, with regard to proposed loss of Green Belt, even though GMCA has heard a multitude of voices, it has chosen to ignore most of what the people have said. As a consequence, the process is unsound.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	GMCA should reduce Green Belt annexation still further and move to an objective needs-based Joint Development Plan which does not pursue development for its own sake, especially at the Timperley Wedge.
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your	1. Meet our housing need

written comment refers to:	
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Even though GMCA has accepted the Government housing need assessment methodology, the conclusions reached are unsound. This is because GMCA has chosen its preferred proposed outcome to take land out of the Green Belt at the Timperley Wedge instead of meeting assessed housing need as a strategic objective by using land at New Carrington to deliver this objective.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	To make this section the plan sound, delete Allocation JP 3.2. If the assessed housing need is realistic, direct development to JPA 33 New Carrington.
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	Our Spatial Strategy
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	A high level of economic growth for its own sake is unsustainable, unrealistic, unnecessary and unsound.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	In the wake of the Covid-19 pandemic, GMCA needs to consider and plan for alternative low growth, low impact and more sustainable scenarios.
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-Strat 1 Core Growth Area
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-Strat 2 City Centre
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-Strat 3 The Quays
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-Strat 5 Inner Areas
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-Strat 9 Southern Areas
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Selective release of Green Belt in key locations such as JP 3.2 is an unsound policy, as is demonstrated in my uploaded attachment.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Delete JP-Strat 9
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-Strat 10 Manchester Airport
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details	Policy JP-Strat 10 is unsound. It's just more of the same - seize land and develop it regardless of whether it has anything to do with operations at

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Manchester Airport. There is a presumption that sustainable growth of Manchester Airport is feasible, which, clearly, it isn't, and never was.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Delete Policy JP-Strat 10
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-Strat 12 Main Town Centres
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-Strat 13 Strategic Green Infrastructure
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	If Trees and woodland are ever protected and enhanced by any of the nine Councils, in particular, Manchester City Council, it will be a first.
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-Strat 14 A Sustainable and Integrated Transport Network
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Much of what is proposed could have been implemented years ago.
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-S 1 Sustainable Development
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant,	Whilst the wording of Policy JP-S1 is self-evident, sustainable development is much more than this Policy conveys. Whether development is needed in the first place is the first question that needs to be addressed. The next question is about how meeting the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs can be guaranteed,

is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	i.e. how reversible the development might be and how easy it would be re-purpose it.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	I would expect there to be explicit and traceable consideration of whether development is needed in the first place. There should be Policy wording addressing how meeting the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs can be guaranteed. There should be consideration of how reversible any development might be and how easy it would be re-purpose it.
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-S 2 Carbon and Energy
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-S 4 Resilience
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	This Policy is incoherent. It is an amalgam of "nice to have" measures. It would be difficult to argue against most of them singly, but, taken together, one would have expected them to make more sense. A good many of the measures represent good practice, so it would be reasonable to expect planners to be operating to high standards in any case.
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-S 5 Flood Risk and Water Environment
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-S 6 Clean Air
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	A good many of these measures would not have been necessary had the GMCA been protecting air quality properly in the first place.
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-S 7 Resource Efficiency
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-J 1 Supporting Long Term Economic Growth
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Provision (iv) is unsound. Manchester Airport should not be expanded any further. Even at low capacity, it disproportionately blights the lives of many non-employees on a daily basis. The Covid-19 pandemic has shown how irrelevant some types of air transport are and are likely to remain for the foreseeable future.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Delete Provision (iv)
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-J 3 Office Development
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Provision (3) Manchester Airport Enterprise Zone and its environs is unsound. It encourages office development at a time when the need for office space is reducing, principally, but not exclusively, as a consequence of the Covid-19 pandemic.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Delete Provision (3)
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	From the very start of the GMSF process, the assessments of housing need have been completely wrong and highly misleading. Whether the latest estimates are any more accurate remains to be seen.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Provide evidence that there are no exceptional circumstances to deviate from the Government's standard housing need assessment methodology.
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-H 2 Affordability of New Housing
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-H 3 Type Size and Design of New Housing
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-H 4 Density of New Housing
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-G 1 Valuing Important Landscapes
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The Joint Development Plan appears to show Green Belt land that is proposed to be retained at the Timperley Wedge incorrectly on the electronic map.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance	Show the Green Belt land proposed to be retained at the Timperley Wedge properly on the electronic map.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

or soundness matters you have identified above.	
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-G 2 Green Infrastructure Network
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-G 7 Trees and Woodland
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Whilst the words are encouraging, Manchester City Council's track record with respect to the loss of existing trees is appalling, especially with regard to the deliberate felling of 18 Category A oak trees in order to create a featureless warehousing industrial estate and car park on land at Sunbank Lane, WA15 0PT, SJ 84541 and Gannet Way, WA15 8XT, SJ 89891 84556 .

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Make it clear that it is only recently that GMCA has had any concern about the loss of existing trees and that it is largely only an aspiration to correct the harm that has already been done in the past.
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-P1 Sustainable Places
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-P2 Heritage
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-P3 Cultural Facilities
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-P4 New Retail and Leisure Uses in Town Centres
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	There should not be a hierarchy of centres. This is unsound discriminatory thinking. It isn't just to do with the city centre. Each centre brings something worthwhile to the mix of retail and leisure uses in town centres.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance	Remove the "hierarchy of centres" concept, which is a dated view of retail and leisure uses in town centres. Some are better than others, but only in a contemporary sense. That's the whole point.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

or soundness matters you have identified above.	
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-P5 Education Skills and Knowledge
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Provision 2b, requiring housing developments, where appropriate, to make a financial contribution to the provision of additional school places and/or set aside land for a new school, whilst seeming reasonable enough, has no bounding parameters. This proposed Provision has the potential for misuse by both developers and local authorities and is not legally compliant. Under the Education Act, the provision of schooling is the responsibility of the local authority and must remain so.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Delete Provision 2b
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-P6 Health
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-C1 An Integrated Network
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-C2 Digital Connectivity
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Title	JP-C3 Public Transport
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	This seems to be code for, "public transport at any price" and so, as drafted, is unjustified and unsound.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Remove provisions 1 and 4.
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JP-C4 Streets for All
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	This could all have been implemented years ago and is just a lot of words which may, or may not, be beneficial in the long run.
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JPA 3.1: Medipark
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Provisions 2 and 6 are designed to facilitate and promote development in the Timperley Wedge. As there is no justification for development in the Timperley Wedge, these provisions are unsound.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Delete Provisions 2 and 6.
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Person ID	1287222
Title	JPA 3.2: Timperley Wedge
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>The proposal to change the Green Belt boundary at the Timperley Wedge (JPA 3.2) is unsound.</p> <p>The many reasons why this proposal is unsound are explained in the attached document, entitled, "Fully developed response to the proposal to change the Green Belt boundary at the Timperley Wedge - 2 October 2021"</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Delete JPA 3.2
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	JPA 10: Global Logistics
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>JPA 10 is already a mitigation zone for land that was removed for creation of a massive global logistics hub adjacent to JPA 10. To remove this status would violate the planning condition basis upon which permission was granted for the hub in the first place.</p> <p>Not only is the allocation not legally compliant, but it is unsound. Provision 3 says, "Where it is not possible to avoid harm, mitigation measures to compensate for any adverse impact will be necessary and should be agreed with the Council, informed by an up to date environmental assessment". The Council's track record in compensating for adverse impact at the logistics hub is dismal and the commitment to mitigate harm is scarcely credible, as any casual inspection of the logistics hub will confirm.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Delete JPA 10
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	Jeremy
Person ID	1287222
Title	Trafford - Green Belt Additions
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287222.pdf
GBA Trafford - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below	Trafford GBA43 Midlands Farm, Moss Lane
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the	Whilst the 49 Green Belt additions are to be welcomed, it is surprising that it is only recently that these have been identified. Presumably, they could have been identified at the outset. If adopted, it should not be assumed that

consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

these additions compensate for, or ameliorate in any way, the proposed loss of Green Belt elsewhere.